

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.		Applicant(s)	
	10/629,296		KAWATE, YASUNORI	
	Examiner		Art Unit	
	GAILENE R. GABEL		1641	

All Participants:

(1) GAILENE R. GABEL.

(2) James Collins.

Date of Interview: 2 July 2009

Type of Interview:

☒ Telephonic

☐ Video Conference

☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☒ No

If Yes, provide a brief description: _____

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:
of record

Claims discussed:
claims 33-44

Prior art documents discussed:
of record

Part II.

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:
See Continuation Sheet

Part III.

☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.

☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

Status of Application: _____

(3) _____.

(4) _____.

Time: _____

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: Mr. James Collins gave the Office authorization to amend claims 33, 38, and 42: 1) to positively recite that each one of the first detector and the second detector "detects" fluorescence intensities and scattered light intensities; 2) to positively recite that the analyzing portion device is configured to "differentiate blood cells...", "count differentiated blood cells", and "differentially detect agglutination degree of the fluorescent carrier particles"; and 3) to recite that the fluorescence carrier particles detected and measured are those that "reacted to the target substance, in an Examiners' Amendment. Mr. Collins also requested to cancel claim 43 and to add claim 45 to correct antecedent basis problem in the claim language in the Examiner's Amendment.